

4 specific incidents, and that's my understanding; that

5 during this incident, that's how Steve Horne performed

6 and that's why he was commended for it.

7 Q. Next document is dated August 2, 2000. You

8 were there at that time, correct?

9 A. I was.

10 Q. And this involved Spain Tyler,

11 correct -- Sean Taylor also known as Spain Tyler?

12 A. That's what it says. I wasn't there for

13 that.

14 Q. Well, this is dated, if you look at the

15 second page, August 29, 2000, correct?

16 A. That's when this was written.

17 Q. And you were --

18 A. The incident happened April 27th of 2000. I

19 wasn't there for this incident.

20 Q. Okay. So you have no knowledge about what

21 happened in this incident?

22 A. I don't.

23 Q. With respect to the prior document that I

24 just -- we just talked about, January 26, 2001

1 regarding Demetrius Wilson --

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. -- were you involved in tracking down or

4 involved in trying to arrest Demetrius Wilson?

5 A. I may have been. I honestly don't remember.

6 It might refresh me if we had the commendation.

7 Q. Would it refresh your memory if I were to

8 tell you that you specifically assigned Steve Horne to

9 track down or investigate Demetrius Wilson?

10 A. No. I assigned all the cases, so that

11 wouldn't surprise me at all.

12 Q. Skipping two pages over, there's a date here

13 of October 25, 2000 regarding Officer Steven Horne.

14 It's a recommendation for a commendation. Is that a

15 recommendation for commendation that you issued, sir?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And is that your signature on that page?

18 A. It is.

19 Q. And this concerns Antoine Burton, does it

20 not?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And that's the same Antoine Burton that

23 Captain Conway commended or wrote a memo to Steve Horne

24 about that we just talked about a few moments ago,

240

1 correct?

2 A. Okay. Correct.

3 Q. And you said to Steve Horne in this

4 recommendation for commendation that, "Officer Horne

5 displayed the highest qualities of the Boston Police

6 Department. As for these reasons, I recommend he be

7 commended for his actions"; is that correct?

8 A. Yes, that's correct.

9 Q. And was that accepted?

10 A. It was.

11 Q. And who signed it?

12 A. I believe that's the signature of Captain

13 Conway.

14 Q. And that's below your signature there?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. The next document is a document dated

17 November 24, 2000 involving a case you assigned to

18 TK13, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And that was Horne, Callender, and Brown?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And you had recommended for commendation

23 Steve Horne in this -- for this particular individual?

24 A. Correct.

241

1 Q. And this individual was Demetrius Wilson,

2 correct?

3 A. I'm just trying to find the name.

4 Q. I'll refer you to the next-to-the-last

5 paragraph.

6 A. Yes, correct.

7 Q. I notice that this particular commendation,

8 recommendation for commendation was also accepted. And

9 was this signed by Captain Conway as well?

10 A. Yes, it was.

11 Q. And that's your signature on this?

12 A. It is.

13 Q. And I notice that this particular suspect,

14 Demetrius Wilson, was one that you had assigned to

15 Callender, Horne, and Brown to work on together,

16 correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Was this the same suspect and same situation

19 that you talked about where Callender came to you and

20 said that Brown and Horne were doing fucked up shit; he

21 didn't want to do any more work with them?

22 A. I don't know that.

23 Q. It might have been. You just don't remember?

24 A. It might have been. I don't -- Yes, I don't

1 remember if this was the guy or not.

2 Q. And it says here that Horne -- the last

3 sentence of the second paragraph says, "Officer Horne,

4 with no other options, started the endless task of

5 checking all the homeless shelters around the city of

6 Boston," right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Is that right? Did I read that correctly?

9 A. Yes, you did.

10 Q. And that's true. Doesn't it take a long time

11 sometimes, sometimes days on end, sometimes weeks to

12 track somebody down and do the work that's required?

13 A. It sure does.

14 Q. And, as a result of this, Demetrius Wilson

15 was taken into custody, right?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And he was a very dangerous suspect accused

18 of aggravated rape, kidnapping and assault with a

19 dangerous weapon?

20 A. He was.

21 Q. And you said here that Officer Brown worked

22 diligently on this case from the first day you assigned

23 it to him, correct?

24 A. Yes.

243

1 Q. So this obviously wasn't a case of him being

2 secretive, elusive, and not being a team player,

3 correct?

4 A. This case?

5 Q. Yes.

6 A. If that was the case, I wouldn't give Steve

7 any cases. I gave Steve cases on a regular basis.

8 Q. The next page over -- Strike that. Before we

9 get to that, when Steve Horne engaged in this work with

10 respect to Wilson, was he off duty at the time the

11 arrest was made?

12 A. With respect to Demetrius Wilson?

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. I don't remember if he was or not. I would

15 think the three of them together, they weren't.

16 Q. The next document is a recommendation for

17 commendation for Steven Horne by Sergeant Kelly

18 O'Connell. Do you see that?

19 A. I do.

20 Q. That was December -- First sentence is

21 December 1, 2000?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And it appears that that's a date when you

24 were there at the time?

244

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And this was accepted by Captain Conway for

3 an award?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And Steve Horne in this recommendation for

6 commendation appeared to be working with several

7 officers: Sergeant Stratton, Sergeant Connelly,

8 Detective Creed, Officer Rattigan, and Sheriff Scanlon,

9 correct?

10 A. That's correct, that night.

11 Q. So, in this particular instance, it appears

12 as though Steve was acting as a team player working

13 with these other officers, correct?

14 A. In this instance, Steve was assigned to work

15 with these officers that night, that's correct.

16 Q. And he did so, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Next document is a recommendation for

19 commendation signed by Gary Barker, Sergeant Barker,

20 correct?

21 A. That's what it says.

22 Q. And he refers to a day January 20, 2001 where

23 Steve Horne and Brown were involved in looking at the

24 activities of gang members, correct, January 20, 2001?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And you were there at that time, right?

3 A. I was in the unit at that time, yes.

4 Q. And he recommended Steve for a commendation,

5 correct?

6 A. That's correct.

7 Q. And in the third paragraph down it says,

8 second sentence, "With the collective knowledge of the

9 offers involved, we knew that the suspect we had in

10 custody was not running from the police for his

11 health." Do you see that?

12 A. I see that.

13 Q. When he says collective knowledge, it appears

14 as though Steve and Ron Brown were working as a team to

15 hunt down some dangerous suspects, correct?

16 A. That's what Sergeant Barker wrote, correct.

17 Q. And the next document is a document signed by

18 lieutenant -- I'm sorry -- by Sergeant Detective

19 Bulman, correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Do you recognize this --

22 A. I believe it to be his signature. I'm not

23 familiar with it, but that's what it says.

24 Q. And this was in the afternoon of October 24,

246

1 2000, he engaged in some work with Horne and Brown?

2 A. Correct, October 24th.

3 Q. And you were there at that time?

4 A. I was.

5 Q. And this was an occasion where Sergeant

6 Bulman was actually working with Horne and Brown, the

7 three of them together, correct?

8 A. I'm not familiar with what this incident was.

9 I can read it, I guess.

10 Q. Well, if you look at the second sentence, it

11 says that, "Sergeant Bulman along with Officers Brown

12 and Horne and other officers were working together

13 to" -- "in Operation Deep Impact," correct?

14 A. Okay. Correct.

15 Q. Isn't it fair to say that Bulman was out in

16 the field and had an observation to determine whether

17 or not Steve Horne and Ron Brown were working well as a

18 police officer?

19 A. I don't know what Eric's capacity was for

20 this incident that took place or this operation. I

21 don't know if he saw Steve or Ron at all.

22 Q. Well, he recommended a commendation for them,

23 right?

24 A. Correct.

247

1 Q. And he wouldn't have done that without seeing

2 what they did, right?

3 MS. TIERNEY: Objection.

4 A. Absolutely. I was never at every incident

5 that I recommended my officers for commendations.

6 Q. I understand that. But in this particular

7 one he did recommend them for a commendation, right?

8 A. He did.

9 Q. He recommended Steve Horne, right?

10 A. Yes, he did.

11 Q. And it appears that he was working with him

12 out in the field according to this, right?

13 A. I'd have to read it. If you don't mind

14 giving me a minute, I can read it and answer it for

15 you.

16 Q. That's okay. The next page on the top, he

17 uses teamwork in the first line there, the use of

18 teamwork?

19 A. The use of teamwork, yes.

20 Q. And in the last paragraph he says, "I commend

21 all of these officers for their actions in this

22 incident by working cohesively as a team," and then he

23 goes on to talk about what they did, right?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. And then he says in the fourth sentence down,

2 he talks about the degree of teamwork involved,

3 correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. So, in this instance, Steve Horne was working

6 as a team member with other members of the strike

7 force, correct?

8 A. That's what Sergeant Bulman is writing.

9 Q. And I'd like to show you Exhibit 13, please.

10 These are some commendations regarding Ron Brown. And

11 second page in, recommendation for a commendation, do

12 you recognize who signed that?

13 A. I believe it to be Sergeant Bulman.

14 Q. And it's October 24, 2000?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And, again, this is regarding the same matter

17 that we just talked about with Steve Horne, Operation

18 Deem Impact, correct?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. And he said the same thing about Ron Brown

21 that he said about Steve Horne in this?

22 A. I believe he says the same thing.

23 Q. The next document is a recommendation for a

24 commendation to Ron Brown concerning a matter dated

249

1 February 6, 2001 where Brown and others worked in

2 Operation Hot Spots?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And this is a commendation -- recommendation

5 for commendation that you issued, correct?

6 A. I can't -- The signature's faded, but I very

7 well may have.

8 Q. Does that appear to be your signature, faded

9 as it is?

10 A. Honestly, I can't tell looking at it. I

11 don't contest it. I wrote several commendations. I

12 can't read that at all.

13 Q. Do you remember Operation Hot Spots and

14 assigning Ron Brown to it?

15 A. Operation Hot Spots? Can I take a minute to

16 read it?

17 Q. Sure. Go ahead.

18 (Witness reviewed document.)

19 A. I remember this incident, but I don't believe

20 I was present for it. But I remember the incident. I

21 believe it happened at nighttime.

22 Q. And you believe that you were the one that

23 issued this recommendation for commendation?

24 A. I issued the recommendation, yes.

250

1 Q. In the last paragraph, first sentence of this

2 commendation you say, "Officers Ronald Brown and others

3 should be commended for their keen observations,

4 attention to detail, and their willingness to risk
5 their safety for the community they serve." Did you
6 say that?

7 A. I did.

8 Q. So this is one instance where Ron Brown did
9 act with proper police procedure; is that correct?

10 A. He did an excellent job.

11 Q. And so perhaps by February of 2001, perhaps

12 your comments about the fact that Ron Brown maybe

13 wasn't engaging in proper police procedures that you

14 were concerned about about jeopardizing safety, perhaps
15 it had sunk in by this point, at least it did for this

16 particular incident, right?

17 MS. TIERNEY: Objection.

18 A. For this incident, Ron Brown did an excellent
19 job.

20 Q. Do you have any knowledge of him again after
21 this recommendation for commendation engaging in police
22 procedure that you thought was contrary to what sound
23 police procedure was with regard to the concerns you
24 expressed earlier?